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**Collaborative Partnerships**

**Institutional Approval: Articulation Arrangement Approval Report**

**School:**

**Author of Draft Report (School - Name/Role):**

**Reviewed and edited by AQU (Name/Role):**

**Name of potential/existing** **(delete as appropriate) partner organisation:**

**Contact details of potential/existing (delete as appropriate) partner organisation:**

**Proposed Partner Programme for Articulation:**

**Proposed UW Programme for Articulation:**

**Type of organisation and nature of proposed relationship (give brief summary):**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Give brief details of the nature of the organisation/institution and its funding  [eg is it an HEI, FEC, private sector organisation, registered company or charity etc; is it for profit/not for profit etc; size, length of time established] |  |
| 2 | Proposed partner web address |  |
| 3 | Give brief details of the rationale for the articulation arrangement proposed (subject area, level, number of potential students etc.) and how it relates to School strategy. |  |
| 4 | UKVI implications (discuss with DPVC International and External Affairs and Head of Admissions) |  |

**Programme arrangements:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Give details of the intended partner articulation programme (award title, length, credits, accreditation etc). |  |
| 6 | Give details of the intended UW award(s) to which the articulation arrangement will relate (award title, point of entry etc. together with any additional requirements that student must meet, eg English language requirements). |  |
| 7 | Give brief details of the quality assurance arrangements for the intended articulation programme of the partner (eg accreditation, partner quality assurance policies, especially in assuring academic standards). |  |
| 8 | Give brief details of the University/School involvement with the proposed partner (eg meetings, support, design, delivery, other collaborative arrangements in existence). |  |
| 9 | Give details (name and responsibilities) of the person(s) who will take responsibility for managing the articulation arrangement on behalf of the School/University. |  |
| 10 | Outline intended arrangements to support student transition (before, during and after transfer to UW). |  |
| 11 | Identify arrangements for marketing/promoting the link and ensuring publicly available materials conform with University policy. |  |
| 12 | Give brief details of any financial arrangements associated with the intended arrangement (eg discounted fees, etc). |  |
| 13 | Give details of any other special conditions or features of the proposal that are relevant. |  |

**Please confirm the following (supporting documents to be submitted to AQU):**

**1 AQU Due Diligence Report (for new partnership)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Identify any matters arising from the due diligence report that require risk management |  |

**2 Site Visit report (if required)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Identify any matters arising from the site visit for the attention of the approving committee |  |

**3 Curriculum mapping report**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Is the School satisfied that students entering from the partner programme will have undertaken an equivalent level, volume and content of relevant prior learning?  Has this been endorsed by the External Examiner for the UW programme?  Identify any specific recommendations from the curriculum mapping report |  |

**School and Head of Academic Quality Approval Sign Off**

The School and Head of Academic Quality have reviewed the proposed articulation arrangement with the associated partner and are satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the principles and criteria set out in the University’s [Collaborative Academic Arrangements Polic](https://www2.worc.ac.uk/aqu/documents/CollaborativeAcademicArrangementsPolicy.pdf)y and associated [Process for the approval, monitoring and review of Articulation Arrangements](https://www2.worc.ac.uk/aqu/719.htm), that due process has been followed, and the partner’s programme is comparable in standard to the UW award with which it will be articulated.

**Authors of document (School and AQU)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature | Name/Role | Date |
| **School:** |  |  |
| **AQU:** |  |  |

**Course Leader of articulated UW course(s)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature | Name | Date |
|  |  |  |

**Head of School**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature | Name | Date |
|  |  |  |

**Head of Academic Quality**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Signature | Name | Date |
|  |  |  |
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